

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/769,873	01/25/2001	Iwao Matsuura	33219	5489
116 75	90 12/22/2003		EXAM	INER
PEARNE & GORDON LLP 1801 EAST 9TH STREET SUITE 1200 CLEVELAND, OH 44114-3108			NGUYEN, TU X	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2684	2
		•	DATE MAILED: 12/22/200	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/769,873	MATSUURA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Tu X Nguyen	2684			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period into the period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply will, so the mailing of the period for reply will. Set at the mailing period for reply will, so the mailing of the period for reply will.	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron te, cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers					
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2684

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 2. Claims 1, 5, 9-12 and 16-18, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Motohashi (US Patent 6,263,449).

Regarding claims 1 and 16, Motohashi discloses a data communication (see col.1 lines 8-19) to a wireless communication apparatus for executing a data communication via a wireless line (see col.5 lines 4-9), comprising:

an information communicator which communicates with the wireless communication apparatus and receives operation condition information of the wireless communication apparatus ((see col.5 lines 4-9);

a clock generator (see 106, fig.1) which generates a clock; and a clock controller (see107, fig.1) for performing an clock control operation wherein the clock controller controls the clock generator in response to the operation condition information so that a multiplied frequency of the clock gives no disturbance to the operation of the wireless communication apparatus (see col.4 lines 34-42).

Regarding claim 5, Motohashi discloses the operation condition information (see col.5 lines 9-23) includes information of a wireless frequency (the demodulating radio

Application/Control Number: 09/769,873 Page 3

Art Unit: 2684

signals, see col.5 lines9-10, inherently extract IF signal from carrier frequency) used by the wireless communication apparatus.

Regarding claim 9, Motohashi does not mention data communication is being only detected in the middle of communication; therefore it is inherently that the information communicator receives information of the wireless frequency when data communication operation is commenced.

Regarding claim 10, Motohashi discloses the information communicator periodically receives information of the wireless frequency in a predetermined time interval (see col. 6 lines 30-42).

Regarding claims 11-12, Motohashi discloses the clock controller judges that a multiplied frequency of the clock coincide with the wireless frequency (see col.3 lines 48-64).

Regarding claim 17, Motohashi discloses the clock control apparatus judges that there is no disturbance reducing effect for the wireless communication apparatus even after the clock control operation has been carried out (see col.3 lines 20-31).

Regarding claim 18, Motohashi discloses the clock control apparatus notifies wireless frequency information which may be supposed to be disturbed by the clock to the wireless communication apparatus (see 203, fig.2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2684

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 2-4 and 6, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Motohashi and further in view of Beale et al. (US Patent 5,790,615).

Regarding claim 2, Motohashi fails to disclose the clock controller performs at least one of a voltage control and a frequency control.

Beale et al. disclose the clock controller performs at least one of a voltage control and a frequency control (see col.11 lines 6-35). Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Motohashi with the above teaching of Beale et al. in order to provide variable frequency signal.

Regarding claim 3, the modified Motohashi discloses the frequency is a frequency shift (see Motohashi, col.2 lines 40-50).

Regarding claim 4, the modified Motohashi discloses the frequency control is a frequency modulation (see Motohashi, col.5 lines 9-14).

Regarding claims 6 and 14, the modified Motohashi discloses reception field strength of the wireless communication apparatus (see Motohashi, col.5 lines 9-22).

5. Claims 7, 13 and 15, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Motohashi and further in view of Campana, Jr. (US Patent 6,198,783).

Regarding claims 7 and 13, Motohashi fails to disclose the operation condition includes a reception data error rate of the wireless communication apparatus.

Art Unit: 2684

Campara discloses the operation condition includes a reception data error rate of the wireless communication apparatus (see col.53 lines 10-27). Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Motohashi with the above teaching of Campana in order to provide clock synchronization to correct data.

Regarding claim 15, the modified Motohashi discloses the clock control operation when the clock control means judges that a reception data error occurs in the wireless communication apparatus based upon the reception data error rate (see Campana, col.52 line 55 through col.53 line 27).

6. Claim 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Motohashi and further in view of Kakeehi (US Patent 6,594,494).

Regarding claim 8, Motohashi fails to disclose the operation condition information includes line quality information of the wireless communication apparatus.

Kakehi discloses the operation condition information includes line quality information of the wireless communication apparatus (see col.5 lines 20-30). Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Motohashi with the above teaching of Kakehi in order to provide handing over between radio communications on the basis of the electric field corrected by the electric field correcting means.

Art Unit: 2684

Conclusion

Page 6

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tu Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-3427. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MAUNG NAY A, can be reached at (703) 308-7749.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office at (703) 306-0377.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

December 10, 2003 Mili Cors ans